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## Methodology:

* Primary Data - Questionnaire Method
* Sample Response 102 Students
* Statistical Tools used : Weightage Method

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SL. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Particulars | Student |  |  |  |  |  | Weighated Response |  |  |  |  | Sum of Weightage ( $\mathrm{\Sigma}$ RW) | Score (ERW <br> /N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Response |  |  |  |  | Total $(\mathrm{N})$ | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 | How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? | 41 | 32 | 19 | 9 | 1 | 102 | 205 | 128 | 57 | 18 | 10 | 418 | 3.70 |
| 2 | How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? | 35 | 63 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 175 | 252 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 439 | 3.88 |
| 3 | How well were the teachers able to communicate? | 61 | 22 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 102 | 305 | 88 | 45 | 8 | 0 | 446 | 3.95 |
| 4 | The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as | 36 | 38 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 102 | 180 | 152 | 66 | 12 | 0 | 410 | 3.63 |
| 5 | Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. | 53 | 42 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 102 | 265 | 168 | 15 | 2 | 10 | 460 | 4.07 |
| 6 | Was your performance in assignments/projects discussed with you? | 49 | 23 | 15 | 11 | 4 | 102 | 245 | 92 | 45 | 22 | 40 | 444 | 3.93 |
| 7 | The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, and field visit | 44 | 27 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 102 | 220 | 108 | 39 | 18 | 90 | 475 | 4.20 |
| 8 | The teaching and mentoring process in the institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and | 29 | 48 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 102 | 145 | 192 | 54 | 10 | 20 | 421 | 3.73 |
| 9 | The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. | 35 | 45 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 102 | 175 | 180 | 57 | 4 | 10 | 426 | 3.77 |


| SL. | Particulars | Student |  |  |  |  |  | Weighated Response |  |  |  |  | Sum of Weightage ( ERW ) | Score <br> ( $\Sigma$ RW <br> $/ \mathrm{N})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | Response |  |  |  |  | Total <br> (N) | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 10 | Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme | 51 | 31 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 102 | 255 | 124 | 48 | 6 | 10 | 443 | 3.92 |
| 11 | Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. | 42 | 35 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 102 | 210 | 140 | 33 | 8 | 100 | 491 | 4.35 |
| 12 | The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. | 58 | 31 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 102 | 290 | 124 | 24 | 8 | 10 | 456 | 4.04 |
| 13 | The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. | 46 | 35 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 102 | 230 | 140 | 27 | 14 | 50 | 461 | 4.08 |
| 14 | Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. | 40 | 28 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 102 | 200 | 112 | 51 | 18 | 80 | 461 | 4.08 |
| 15 | The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality | 35 | 46 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 102 | 175 | 184 | 51 | 2 | 30 | 442 | 3.91 |
| 16 | The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, | 36 | 40 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 102 | 180 | 160 | 57 | 12 | 10 | 419 | 3.71 |
| 17 | Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. | 45 | 43 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 102 | 225 | 172 | 30 | 2 | 30 | 459 | 4.06 |
| 18 | Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability | 44 | 33 | 14 | 8 | 3 | 102 | 220 | 132 | 42 | 16 | 30 | 440 | 3.89 |
| 19 | What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. While teaching | 42 | 32 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 102 | 210 | 128 | 54 | 8 | 60 | 460 | 4.07 |
| 20 | The overall quality of teaching-learning process in the institute is very good | 36 | 50 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 102 | 180 | 200 | 36 | 4 | 20 | 440 | 3.89 |

